

Placental weight and its relationship with the birth weight of term infants and body mass index of the mothers Journal of International Medical Research 2023, Vol. 51(5) 1–10 © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/03000605231172895 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Raveendran Sathasivam¹, Pranavan Selliah², Raguraman Sivalingarajah³, Uruthirapasupathi Mayorathan² and Baththirange Malaka Munasinghe⁴ (b)

Abstract

Objective: Placental pathology is a well-known cause of perinatal and neonatal mortality and morbidity, and may correlate with placental growth, which can be assessed indirectly by anthropometric placental measurements. The aim of this cross-sectional study was to investigate mean placental weight and its relationship with birthweight and maternal body mass index (BMI).

Methods: Fresh (not formalin fixed) consecutively delivered placentae of term newborns (37–42 weeks), collected between February 2022 and August 2022, and the mothers and newborns, were included. Mean placental weight, birthweight and maternal BMI were calculated. Pearson's correlation coefficient, linear regression, and one-way analysis of variance were used to analyse continuous and categorical data.

Results: Out of 390 samples, 211 placentae (with 211 newborns and mothers) were included in this study after exclusion criteria were applied. Mean placental weight was 494.45 \pm 110.39 g, and mean term birth weight/placental weight ratio was 6.21 \pm 1.21 (range, 3.35–11.62 g). Placental weight was positively correlated with birthweight and maternal BMI, but not with newborn sex. Linear regression effect estimation of placental weight on birthweight revealed a medium correlation ($R^2 = 0.212$; formula, 1.4553 \times X + 2246.7, where X is placental weight [g]).

²Department of Forensic Medicine and Pathology,

Teaching Hospital, Jaffna, Sri Lanka

⁴Department of Anaesthesiology, District General Hospital, Mannar, Sri Lanka

Corresponding author:

BM Munasinghe, Department of Anaesthesiology, District General Hospital, Mannar 41000, Sri Lanka. Email: malakafmp@gmail.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹Department of Forensic Medicine and Pathology, District General Hospital, Vavuniya, Sri Lanka

³Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Teaching Hospital, Jaffna, Sri Lanka

Conclusion: Placental weight was revealed to positively correlate with birthweight and maternal BMI.

Keywords

Placental, fetal, fetal weight to placental weight, maternal BMI, placental pathology, newborn weight

Date received: 16 November 2022; accepted: 13 April 2023

Introduction

Placental pathology is a well-known cause of perinatal and neonatal mortality and morbidity, with increased adverse maternal outcomes.¹ Complete and meticulous investigation of the placenta, including macroscopy, microscopy, immunohistochemistry, and genetic studies where relevant, is useful in revealing such pathology. Fetal growth and the ability to thrive in utero depends upon placental function. The average weight of a trimmed placenta at term is reported to be 510 g, with a diameter of 185 mm, 23 mm thickness, and an average volume of 500 ml.² Placental weight has also been reported to vary between 300 g and 890 g according to birthweight, with a mean of 590 ± 82 g. In general, the ratio between placental weight and newborn birthweight is 1:6.³ Two standard references are endorsed by the College of American Pathologists regarding placental weight: absolute weight and fetal/placental weight ratio.4,5 Neonatal birthweight to placental weight ratio indicates the adequacy of fetal nutrition, while the placental weight to birthweight ratio is a proxy indicator of placental efficiency.^{6,7} Importantly, such morphometric measurements are heterogeneous throughout the world, varying from region to region, country to country, across regions within the same country, and according to the ethnicity/ancestry of the mother and fetus. The aim of the present

study was to investigate the mean placental weight of the term newborn and its relationship with birthweight and maternal body mass index (BMI) in a single unit of a tertiary care hospital in Sri Lanka.

Participants and methods

Study population and data collection

This cross-sectional, descriptive-analytical survey (study) was conducted in the Professorial Unit, Teaching Hospital, Jaffna, which is the largest tertiary care hospital in the Northern Province of Sri Lanka, catering to obstetric and neonatal care for the entire Jaffna peninsula and to the majority of complicated patients from other districts of the Northern Province. The survey was conducted according to STROBE guidelines.⁸ Ethical authorization was obtained from the Ethics review committee of the Teaching Hospital, Jaffna, Sri Lanka on 3 February 2022 (approval No. S-04/01/ Written informed consent was 2022). obtained from the mothers, according to the accepted method and ethics. Fresh (not formalin fixed) placentae of the term newborns (37-42 weeks) consecutively delivered by healthy pregnant mothers in the labour room, between February 2022 and August 2022, were included in this study. The placenta of term infants born to non-Sri Lankan mothers; twin placenta; placenta of term infants from mothers with chronic diseases, such as diabetes, hypertension, thyroid disorders, anaemia, or fetal growth restriction; placenta with disruption or damage during delivery; and/or pathological placenta; were excluded from the study. Pathological placentae were diagnosed by macroscopic and microscopic examination. Gestational age (GA) was recorded according to the first day of the last normal menstrual period (LMP). Ultrasound scandating was extracted from the antenatal reports. If the two were in agreement, i.e., did not differ by >10 days, the LMP-GA was used. If the difference was >10 days, then the booking scan GA was used. Ultrasound examinations for abnormal position of the placenta and accreta spectrum disorders of the placenta were routinely performed at 20 to 22 weeks of pregnancy. Maternal BMI (kg/m²) was extracted from the antenatal record obtained at the beginning of the booking visit during the first trimester after missing a menstrual period. The mothers were categorized into three groups according to their BMI: ≤ 24.99 , 25-29.99, and \geq 30. The weight of the fresh placenta (functional tissue) was measured (to the nearest g) after removal of the whole membranes, the whole length of the umbilical cord, and blood clots (trimmed weight), according to the Amsterdam protocol for placental examination.9 The birthweight of the newborn (to the nearest g) was obtained within a few minutes of birth, before any significant postnatal weight loss.

Statistical analyses

Data are presented as mean \pm SD or n (%) prevalence. All data were entered into an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel 2016, version 2211; Microsoft.com) by trained doctors after a pilot study. All patient details were de-identified and coding numbers were used to enter data. Data were then analysed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 24.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The relationship between newborn weight and placental weight, and between maternal BMI and placental weight, was assessed using Pearson's correlation coefficient (for continuous data) and the square of the sample correlation coefficient (R^2). The correlation between newborn weight and placental weight was also assessed by simple linear regression. Oneway analysis of variance was applied to assess the associations of birthweight, placental weight and birthweight/placental weight ratio with the sex of the newborn. A *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 211 placentae were included in this study, selected from 390 consecutive samples after exclusion criteria were applied (Table 1). The mean maternal age was 28.82 ± 5.09 years (range, 18–48 years), and mean maternal BMI was $23.42\,\pm$ 4.12 kg/m^2 (range, $14.8-41.30 \text{ kg/m}^2$). Out of 211 term newborns, 110 were male and 101 were female (Figure 1). The mean birthweight of the term newborns was 2966.66 \pm 0.39 g (range, 2350–3960 g). The mean placental weight was 494.45 ± 110.39 g (range, 260-870 g), and mean birthweight: placental weight ratio was 6.21 ± 1.21 (range, 3.35–11.62). The 10th to 90th percentile range for the birthweight: placental weight ratio was 4.78–7.71 (Table 1). The BMI of the majority of mothers (72.5%) was $<24.99 \text{ kg/m}^2$, while 19.4% of the mothers had a BMI of between 25 and 29.99 kg/m^2 8.1% of the mothers had a and $BMI > 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (Table 2). An underweight mother with a BMI of 14.8 kg/m^2 delivered a baby with a weight of 3004 g and a placental weight of 520 g.

There was a statistically significant positive correlation between birthweight and placental weight (Pearson's r = 0.454; P = 0.0001). Higher placental weight was

	Age, years	BMI, kg/m ²	Birthweight, g	Placental weight, g	Birthweight/ placental weight ratio
Mean	28.82	23.42	2966.28	494.45	6.21
Standard deviation	5.09	4.12	353.535	110.39	1.21
Minimum	18	14.80	2350	260	3.35
Maximum	48	41.30	3960	870	11.62
Percentiles					
l 0th	22.00	19.0800	2530.00	370.00	4.78
25th	26.00	20.5000	2710.00	420.00	5.4717
50th	28.00	23.0000	2920.00	480.00	6.1412
75th	32.00	25.8000	3200.00	550.00	6.7143
90th	36.00	29.0000	3448.00	648.00	7.71

Table I. Distribution of 211 samples according to maternal age and body mass index (BMI), placental weight, term newborn birthweight and birthweight/placental weight ratio.



Figure 1. Distribution of 211 placentae according to the sex of the neonate.

 Table 2. Distribution of 211 placentae according to maternal body mass index (BMI).

Maternal BMI, kg/m ²	Number of samples	Percentage
<74 99	153	72 5
25–29.99	41	19.4
≥30	17	8.1
Total	211	100.0

found to be significantly associated with higher newborn birthweight (Figure 2 and Table 3), and linear regression analysis showed the following relationship between foetal weight in grams (Y) and placental weight in grams (X): Y = 1.4553X + 2246.7 (Figure 2).

There was also a statistically significant positive correlation between maternal BMI and placental weight (Pearson's r = 0.219; P = 0.001; Table 3).

A statistically significant positive correlation was found between birthweight and sex of the term neonate (P = 0.017, male versus female birthweights), however, no statistically significant correlation was demonstrated between either placental weight or birthweight: placental weight ratio and the sex of the newborn (Table 4).

Discussion

Apparent inconsistencies in the weight of the placenta and fetal/placental weight ratio are directly associated with macrosomia, low birthweight, chromosomal disorders, preterm delivery, intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR), stillbirth, neonatal morbidity, and mortality. Indirectly, placental weight is associated with maternal ethnicity, maternal and fetal genetics, smoking, nutrition, environmental conditions, and drug misuse, including alcohol, maternal diseases, and acute placental injury due to trauma.^{2–4,10,11}

Placental weight and its relationship with neonatal birthweight have been studied for over a century. Data on morphometric



Figure 2. Scatter plot and linear regression analysis showing the association between birthweight and placental weight in 211 singleton uncomplicated pregnancies.

	Birthweight and placental weight	BMI and placental weight
Pearson's correlation coefficient, r	0.454	0.219
Correlation coefficient, R^2	0.206	0.048
Statistical significance	P = 0.000 I	P = 0.001

Table 3. Association of newborn birthweight and maternal body mass index (BMI) with placental weight.

measurements of the placenta are ubiquitous in Western literature, but remain poorly addressed in Sri Lanka and other developing countries. There are no uniform national protocols in these countries for pathological examination of the placenta in clinical or autopsy investigations of perinatal morbidity and mortality, where most pathologists follow National Health Service (UK) or College of American Pathologists (USA) protocols for placental examination.^{5,12} Primarily, such morphometric measurements are heterogeneous worldwide, varying from region to region, country to country, across regions within the same country, and according to the ethnicity/ancestry of mother and fetus. Differences within the same country have been wellestablished in studies conducted in India, Nigeria, Malaysia, and China.^{2–4,10,11}

Parameters	
Birthweight with neonate sex F	P=0.017
Placental weight with neonate sex F	P=0.611
Birthweight: placental weight ratio with neonate sex F	P=0.444

Table 4. Association of newborn birthweight, placental weight, and birthweight: placental weight ratio with the sex of the neonate (n = 211; analysis of variance).

Table 5. Evaluation of mean placental weight, neonate birthweight, and birthweight: placental weight ratio reported in previously published studies compared with the present study.

Study	Country	Mean birthweight, g	Mean placental weight, g	Mean birthweight/ placental weight ratio
Asgharnia et al. ¹³	Iran	3214.3	529.7	_
Kafle et al. ¹⁴	Nepal	2939.93	455.76	6.6:1
Eskild et al. ¹⁵	Norway	3567.56	674.38	5.26:1 (0.190, placental weight/birthweight)
Soliman et al. ¹⁶	Qatar	3185	678	4.77
Mehare et al. ¹⁷	Ethiopia	2900	524.36	5.52
Jain et al. ¹⁸	India (Bhopal)	2633.5	486.78	5.78:1
Salmani et al. ¹⁹	India (Kerala)	3140	435.92	5.72:1
Present study	Sri Lanka	2966.28	494.45	6.21

Therefore, accurate reference values of placental weight and its relationship with neonatal birthweight and maternal BMI are important in the investigation of neonatal mortality, as well as in stillbirths.

In the present study, mean placental weight, neonate birthweight, and birthweight/placental weight ratio were respectively lower, identical, and higher compared with a selection of previously published studies (Table 5).^{13–19} The present findings support the evidence that placental weight and neonatal birthweight/placental weight ratio vary according to country, ancestry, and ethnicity. However, measurement methods vary widely between studies, particularly due to differences in placental preparations (fresh or fixed placenta), methodology, and purpose of the study. Fixation of the placenta will affect its weight, with an increase of 3-6%, 9,20 according to the duration of the formalin fixation. Fresh unfixed placentae were included in the present study to minimize errors in weight.

In contrast, formalin-fixed placentae were preferred in the context of macroscopic pathological examination for better visualization.

Excessive growth of the placenta (placentomegaly) is associated with multiple maternal and fetal conditions, including maternal diabetes, maternal anaemia, fetal anaemia. congenital syphilis, toxoplasmosis, congennephrosis, idiopathic fetal ital fetal hydrops, multiple placental chorioangiomas, large intervillous thrombi, and a large blood clot beneath the chorionic membrane (fetal surface-roof of the placenta). These are attributed to acute placental injury, poor perinatal outcome, a low Apgar score, respiratory distress syndrome, and perinatal death. Placental hypoplasia, indicated by low placental weight, has been associated with maternal cardiovascudisease. vasculitis. hypertension. lar diabetes mellitus, accelerated placental maturation, many of the major autosomal disorders, babies with trisomy, and major fetal malformations.^{10,11,18,21–24}

Even though placental weight is an indicator of placental growth, it does not indicate the efficiency of the placental function. Most pathologists opine that the function and efficiency of the placenta are more important for fetal growth than measurements of weight alone: it indirectly depends on maternal well-being, including the nutritional state measured by maternal BMI. The neonatal birthweight to placental weight ratio indicates the adequacy of fetal nutrition by the functional tissue of the placenta.

In keeping with other studies, a linear relationship was found between neonate birthweight and placental weight (P < 0.0001) in the present study, with an estimated relationship between placental weight and the birthweight of the term fetus of y = 1.4553x + 2246.7, where x is the weight of the placenta in grams and y is the neonate birthweight in grams. This formula may be used to calculate fetal weight in the Northern Province of Sri Lanka during perinatal death investigations of term fetuses, particularly in concealed pregnancies and infant homicides (infanticides). These reference values may also be used to help resolve medico-legal issues related to neonatal death due to trauma (infanticide/fresh neonatal homicide), medical misadventure, negligence, and in deaths where natural and traumatic causes co-exist.25

Women with high pre-pregnancy BMI have been reported to deliver heavyweight placentae,²⁶ in keeping with the present study (P < 0.001), but BMI in the present study was calculated at the earliest antenatal visit in the first trimester followed the missed menstrual period. Women with a higher pre-pregnancy BMI are at risk of several obstetric complications (e.g., gestational diabetes mellitus, preeclampsia, and large-for-gestational-age babies) associated with higher morbidity for both mother and

child. Surprisingly, an underweight mother in the present study (BMI, 14.8 kg/m^2) delivered a baby with a fetal weight of 3004 g and a placental weight of 520 g. These findings highlight that other factors also contribute to fetal growth, in addition to maternal BMI. Data from various agencies show that pregnant mothers from Sri Lanka have been experiencing nutritional deficiencies due to the current economic crisis, in contrast to other western countries where obesity is widespread. In August 2022, an estimated 215000 Sri Lankan women were pregnant, according to data from the Ministry of Health, Sri Lanka, including 11000 adolescent girls, while 145000 women were scheduled to deliver in the next 6 months.²⁷ Therefore, we recommend a large-scale community study on the relationship between maternal BMI, birthweight and placental weight in a population with an adequate sample size.

relationship А was demonstrated between the sex of the fetus and birthweight in the present study (P = 0.017), in adherence with other studies.²⁸ As stated by Brown et al., in 2014,²⁹ 'fetal sex has long been known to have a consequence in placental weight, with known sex-specific placental gene expression, as well as sexspecific differences in biomarkers and placental gene-environment interactions'. However, no such significant correlation with placental weight (P=0.611) was found in the present study, in contrast to other studies.³⁰ This may have been due to inadequate sampling or random representation of samples modified by survey criteria, or there may be no such true relationship between fetal sex and placental weight.

Although ultrasound assessments for dating GA and gestational position and accreta spectrum disorders of the placenta were performed in the present study, according to the Green-top guideline No. 27a,³¹ a detailed morphological assessment of each placenta was not conducted.

A group of healthy and uncomplicated pregnancies with no specific placental pathology were studied in a single obstetric unit of a tertiary care hospital. Samples were collected in a consecutive manner for a period of 6 months (between February 2022 and August 2022). The study was conducted as a survey/pilot project to ascertain the study objectives. These limitations restrict the use of study findings in a nationwide manner. Similarly, correlation of ultrasound details of the placenta with maternal BMI and neonate birthweight will yield more data. The latter will be assessed in a separate prospective study by the authors. The authors recommend multicentred studies with adequate sample sizes to overcome these limitations.

Conclusion

The mean placental weight of the Sri Lankan term fetus is most likely to be lower than in western studies, but consistent with South Asian studies according to the present analysis. A significant relationship was demonstrated between placental weight and birthweight or maternal BMI. These figures may be utilized to investigate the mortality and morbidity of term infants born in the Northern Province of Sri Lanka as well as to aid medico-legal investigation of term stillbirth and neonatal death. Further multicentred research is necessary to evaluate these parameters along with the health of infants born to nutritionally deprived mothers in the ongoing economic crisis.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the Director, consultants, doctors, staff, and midwives of the Professorial Obstetric Unit of the Teaching Hospital, Jaffna, Sri Lanka for the immense support extended during the study. Thanks also to Ms. Sathana Paramanathan, Research Assistant, University of Jaffna, for her valuable contribution during data analysis.

Author contributions

Raveendran Sathasivam – literature review, study design, writing the proposal, formulation of the questionnaire formulation, reading histology slides, data analysis, preparation of the manuscript.

Pranavan S – work on ethical clearance, data collection, reading histology of the placentae.

Raguraman S – data collection, data entry, manuscript preparation.

Mayorathan U – preparation of placenta for histology, reading histology slides, research supervisor.

Munasinghe BM – questionnaire preparation, data collection, manuscript editing, corresponding author.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data accessibility

Supplementary material for this paper is available upon request.

ORCID iD

Baththirange Malaka Munasinghe D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8373-4752

References

- 1. Roescher AM, Timmer A, Erwich JJ, et al. Placental pathology, perinatal death, neonatal outcome, and neurological development: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e89419.
- 2. Janthanaphan M, Kor-Anantakul O and Geater A. Placental weight and its ratio to

birth weight in normal pregnancy at Songkhlanagarind Hospital. J Med Assoc Thai 2006; 89: 130–137.

- 3. Panti AA, Ekele BA, Nwobodo EI, et al. The relationship between the weight of the placenta and birth weight of the neonate in a Nigerian Hospital. *Niger Med J* 2012; 53: 80–84.
- Implantation, embryogenesis and placental development. In: Cunningham FG, Leveno KJ, Bloom SL, et al. (eds) *Williams Obstetrics*. 22nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2005, pp. 39–90.
- Langston C, Kaplan C, Macpherson T, et al. Practice guideline for examination of the placenta: developed by the Placental Pathology Practice Guideline Development Task Force of the College of American pathologists. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 1997; 121: 449–476.
- Burkhardt T, Schäffer L, Schneider C, et al. Reference values for the weight of freshly delivered term placentas and for placental weight-birth weight ratios. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2006; 128: 248–252.
- 7. Nascente LMP, Grandi C, Aragon DC, et al. Placental measurements and their association with birth weight in a Brazilian cohort. *Rev Bras Epidemiol* 2020; 23: e200004.
- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; 147: 573–577.
- Khong TY, Mooney EE, Ariel I, et al. Sampling and definitions of placental lesions: Amsterdam Placental Workshop Group consensus statement. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2016; 140: 698–713.
- Kowsalya V, Vijayakumar R, Valli G, et al. Morphometry examination of placenta in birth weight of full-term newborns in Puducherry, India. *Pak J Biol Sci* 2013; 16: 895–897.
- 11. Sivarao S, Vidyadaran MK, Jammal AB, et al. Weight, volume and surface area of placenta of normal pregnant women and their relation to maternal and neonatal

parameters in Malay, Chinese and Indian ethnic groups. *Placenta* 2002; 23: 691–696.

- Evans C and Cox P. Tissue pathway for histopathological examination of the placenta. 2nd ed. The Royal College of Pathologists, https://www.rcpath.org/profession/publications/ cancer-datasets.html (2019, accessed 28 April 2022).
- Asgharnia M, Esmailpour N, Poorghorban M, et al. Placental weight and its association with maternal and neonatal characteristics. *Acta Med Iran* 2008; 46: 467–472.
- Kafle R, Nibedita K and Gupta BK. Mean birth weight and mean placental weight among deliveries in a tertiary care hospital. *JNMA J Nepal Med Assoc* 2019; 57: 364–366.
- 15. Eskild A, Haavaldsen C and Vatten LJ. Placental weight and placental weight to birthweight ratio in relation to Apgar score at birth: a population study of 522 360 singleton pregnancies. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2014; 93: 1302–1308.
- Soliman AT, Eldabbagh M, Saleem W, et al. Placental weight: relation to maternal weight and growth parameters of full-term babies at birth and during childhood. *J Trop Pediatr* 2013; 59: 358–364.
- 17. Mehare T and Kebede D. Fetoplacental weight relationship in normal pregnancy and pregnancy complicated by pregnancyinduced hypertension and abruption of placenta among mothers who gave birth in Southern Ethiopia, 2018. *Obstet Gynecol Int* 2020; 2020: 6839416.
- Jain A, Sharma D, Sharma V, et al. Study of placental weight in normal and preeclamptic pregnancies and its correlation with birth weight. *Int J Med Res Rev* 2016; 4: 2–8.
- Salmani D, Purushothaman S, Somashekara SC, et al. Study of structural changes in placenta in pregnancy-induced hypertension. *J Nat Sci Biol Med* 2014; 5: 352–355.
- Fox GE, Van Wesep R, Resau JH, et al. The effect of immersion formaldehyde fixation on human placental weight. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 1991; 115: 726–728.
- Weng EY, Moeschler JB and Graham JM Jr. Longitudinal observations on 15 children with Wiedemann-Beckwith syndrome. *Am J Med Genet* 1995; 56: 366–373.

- 22. Milani D, Pezzani L, Tabano S, et al. Beckwith-Wiedemann and IMAGe syndromes: two very different diseases caused by mutations on the same gene. *Appl Clin Genet* 2014; 7: 169–175.
- 23. Morales-Roselló J, Dias T, Khalil A, et al. Birth-weight differences at term are explained by placental dysfunction, but not by maternal ethnicity. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2018; 52: 488–493.
- Weerakkody Y and Jones J. Placentomegaly. Reference article, Radiopaedia.org. https:// doi.org/10.53347/rID-13573 (2011, accessed 25 December 2021).
- Cohen MC and Scheinberg I. Forensic aspects of perinatal deaths. *Acad Forensic Pathol* 2018; 8: 452–491.
- 26. Brouwers L, Franx A, Vogelvang TE, et al. Maternal prepregnancy body mass index with placental histopathological characteristics in uncomplicated term pregnancies. *Pediatr Dev Pathol* 2019; 22: 45–52.
- 27. The United Nations Office at Geneva. Sri Lanka's economic crisis pushes health

system to brink of collapse, UN Geneva Working for Peace, Rights and Well-being. https://www.ungeneva.org/en/news-media/ news/2022/08/sri-lankas-economic-crisispushes-health-system-brink-collapse (2022, accessed 5 October 2022).

- Davis RO, Cutter GR, Goldenberg RL, et al. Fetal biparietal diameter, head circumference, abdominal circumference and femur length. A comparison by race and sex. *J Reprod Med* 1993; 38: 201–206.
- Brown ZA, Schalekamp-Timmermans S, Tiemeier HW, et al. Fetal sex specific differences in human placentation: a prospective cohort study. *Placenta* 2014; 35: 359–364.
- Flatley C, Sole-Navais P, Vaudel M, et al. Placental weight centiles adjusted for age, parity and fetal sex. *Placenta* 2022; 117: 87–94.
- Jauniaux E, Alfirevic Z, Bhide AG, et al; Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Placenta praevia and placenta accreta: diagnosis and management: Green-top Guideline No. 27a. *BJOG* 2019; 126: e1–e48.